arXiv:1701.03685v1 [math.CO] 13 Jan 2017

The Eigenvalues of the Graphs $D(4, q)$

G. Eric Moorhouse[a,*], Shuying Sun[b], Jason Williford[a]

[a] *Department of Mathematics, University of Wyoming, Laramie WY 82071 USA*
[b] *Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark DE 19716 USA*

Abstract

The graphs $D(k, q)$ have connected components $CD(k, q)$ giving the best known bounds on extremal problems with *forbidden* even cycles, and are denser than the well-known graphs of Lubotzky, Phillips, Sarnak [15] and Margulis [16, 17]. Despite this, little about the spectrum and expansion properties of these graphs is known. In this paper we find the spectrum for $k = 4$, the smallest open case. For each prime power q, the graph $D(4, q)$ is q-regular graph on $2q^4$ vertices, all of whose eigenvalues other than $\pm q$ are bounded in absolute value by $2\sqrt{q}$. Accordingly, these graphs are good expanders, in fact very close to Ramanujan.

Keywords: expander graph, Cayley graph, graph spectrum

1. Introduction

Let Γ be a graph with vertex set V. (All our graphs are undirected and have no loops or multiple edges. See e.g. [6, 3] for standard terminology and theory of graphs.) Given a set of vertices $F \subset V$, we define ∂F to be the set of vertices in $V \setminus F$ which are adjacent to some vertex of F. The *isoperimetric constant* of Γ is defined to be

$$h(\Gamma) = \min\left\{ \frac{|\partial F|}{|F|} : F \subset V \text{ and } |F| \leqslant \frac{|V|}{2} \right\}.$$

An infinite family of d-regular graphs whose isoperimetric constants are uniformly bounded away from 0 is an *expander family*. The best known general bounds on $h(\Gamma)$ are expressed in terms of the *spectrum* of Γ, i.e. the multiset of eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix. In particular, if Γ is q-regular with second-largest eigenvalue $\lambda_2(\Gamma) < \lambda_1 = q$, then

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\big(q - \lambda_2(\Gamma)\big) \leqslant h(\Gamma) \leqslant \sqrt{2q(q - \lambda_2(\Gamma))}\,;$$

see e.g. [9, Prop.1.84]. (The second-largest eigenvalue is denoted differently in some sources, including [9].) Thus to certify an infinite family of q-regular

*Corresponding author
Email addresses: moorhous@uwyo.edu (G. Eric Moorhouse), shuying@udel.edu (Shuying Sun), jwillif1@uwyo.edu (Jason Williford)

graphs as an expander family, we require a uniform lower bound on the *spectral gap* $q-\lambda_2(\Gamma)$. A q-regular connected graph Γ is *Ramanujan* if $\lambda_2(\Gamma) \leqslant 2\sqrt{q-1}$; by the Alon-Boppana Theorem (see e.g. [9, Ch.3]) this bound is asymptotically best possible for any infinite family of q-regular graphs.

In searching for good families of explicitly defined graphs with good expansion, a particularly promising infinite family of graphs is the sequence

$$\cdots \to D(5,q) \to D(4,q) \to D(3,q) \to D(2,q)$$

defined by Lazebnik and Ustimenko [10] for each prime power q. Each graph $D(k,q)$ in this sequence is bipartite q-regular on $2q^k$ vertices having girth $\geqslant k+4$ (or $k+5$, when k is even); and each connecting map '$\to$' is a graph-theoretic cover (see [12, Sec.3B]). The graphs $D(k,q)$ are connected for $k \leqslant 5$ and q odd; see [11]. The covering property ensures that the girth of $D(k,q)$ is weakly increasing as $k \to \infty$, and the spectrum of $D(k,q)$ embeds in that of $D(k+1,q)$; see [12, Sec.3C].

The graphs $CD(k,q)$ are important in the study of Turán type problems on even cycles, giving better lower bounds on the maximum number of edges in graphs of girth $g \geqslant 6$ than the well-known Ramanujan graphs of Lubotzky, Phillips and Sarnak [15]. Similarly, the graphs $LD(q,r)$ of Alon et al. [1], another expander family with fixed degree, have girth 3 (after removing loops). By comparison, therefore, one might expect the graphs $CD(k,q)$ to have very good expansion properties.

However, little is known about the eigenvalues of these graphs. In fact, to date only the spectrum of $D(2,q)$ and $D(3,q)$ are known, their characteristic polynomials being

$$(x^2-q^2)(x^2-q)^{q-1}x^{2q(q-1)}$$

and

$$(x^2-q^2)(x^2-2q)^{q(q-1)^2/2}(x^2-q)^{2q(q-1)}x^{q^3-2q^2+3q-2}$$

respectively; see [13, Sec.5]. In particular, these graphs are Ramanujan. However, Reichard [19] and Thomason [20] independently showed by computer that the graphs $D(4,q)$ are not Ramanujan for certain q, refuting the claim of [21]; see also the final note in this paper where we investigate this question more closely. The same statements apply to $D(k,q)$ for all $k \geqslant 4$, since the spectrum of $D(4,q)$ is embedded in that of $D(k,q)$ for $k \geqslant 4$.

It was later claimed in [22] that the eigenvalues of $D(k,q)$ other than $\pm q$ are bounded by $2\sqrt{q}$. However, a flaw was later found in the argument, leaving the problem open; see the Math Review MR2048644 for [22]. To date, we have not found any counterexample to this statement, so we list it as a conjecture. Following [10], we denote by $CD(k,q)$ a connected component of $D(k,q)$; and we note that $CD(4,q) = D(4,q)$ whenever $q \notin \{2,4\}$.

Conjecture 1.1 (Ustimenko). *For all (k,q), $CD(k,q)$ has second largest eigenvalue less than or equal to $2\sqrt{q}$.*

In this paper we verify Conjecture 1.1 for $k=4$:

Theorem 1.2. *The second largest eigenvalue of $CD(4,q)$ is less than or equal to $2\sqrt{q}$.*

This implies that these graphs are very close to Ramanujan. Our proof is given in Section 5 for even q, and in Section 6 for odd q. A more explicit determination of the spectrum is given in Section 7 for prime values $q = p$.

Our approach is similar to [4], in that we first realize the halved (point) graph of $D(k,q)$ as a Cayley graph of a certain p-group G. Unlike the situation for the Wenger graphs in [4], or the graphs $D(2,q)$ and $D(3,q)$, our group G is nonabelian whenever q is odd, thus requiring more extensive use of the representation theory of G. Finally, our bounds on eigenvalues are obtained using Weil's bound for exponential sums over $\mathbb{F}_q$, or over Galois rings of characteristic 3 in the case $q = 3^e$.

2. The Graphs $D(4,q)$ and their Point Collinearity Graphs $\Gamma(4,q)$

Throughout, we take $F = \mathbb{F}_q$ where q is a prime power. The graph $D(4,q)$ is bipartite and q-regular with $2q^4$ vertices. These include q^4 vertices $P = P(p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4)$ called *points*, and q^4 vertices $L = L(\ell_1,\ell_2,\ell_3,\ell_4)$ called *lines*, where all coordinates are in F; and the point P and line L (with coordinates as above) are *incident* iff

$$p_2 + \ell_2 = p_1\ell_1, \quad p_3 + \ell_3 = p_1\ell_2 \quad \text{and} \quad p_4 + \ell_4 = p_2\ell_1.$$

Also denote by $\Gamma = \Gamma(4,q)$ the point collinearity graph of $D(4,q)$, i.e. the graph whose vertices are the points of $D(4,q)$, two points being adjacent in Γ iff they are distinct but collinear in $D(4,q)$; see e.g. [3, Sec.14.2.2]. One checks that two vertices $P(p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4), P(p_1',p_2',p_3',p_4')$ are adjacent in Γ (i.e. distinct and collinear in $D(4,q)$) iff

$$p_1 \neq p_1', \quad (p_1 - p_1')(p_4 - p_4') = (p_2 - p_2')^2 \quad \text{and} \quad p_3 - p_3' = p_2p_1' - p_1p_2'.$$

The adjacency matrix of Γ has the form $A = B_1B_1^T - qI_{q^4}$ where B_1 is a $q^4 \times q^4$ matrix for which

$$B := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B_1 \\ B_1^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is the adjacency matrix of $D(4,q)$ (with the first q^4 rows and columns indexed by points, and the last q^4 rows and columns indexed by lines). Note that Γ is a $q(q-1)$-regular graph on q^4 vertices. The spectra of A and B are in direct relationship. Indeed, elementary methods yield the following, which is also implicit in [4, 13]:

Lemma 2.1. *Denote the characteristic polynomial of A, the adjacency matrix of $\Gamma(4,q)$, by $\phi(x) = \det(xI_{q^4} - A)$. Then the characteristic polynomial of B, the adjacency matrix of $D(4,q)$, is $\det(xI_{2q^4} - B) = \phi(x^2 - q)$.* □

Equivalently, every eigenvalue λ of A, with multiplicity m, corresponds to a pair of eigenvalues $\pm\sqrt{q+\lambda}$ of B, each with multiplicity m (or a single eigenvalue 0 of multiplicity $2m$ in case $\lambda = -q$). The remainder of this paper is devoted to proving

Theorem 2.2. *The graph $\Gamma = \Gamma(4,q)$ is connected except for $q \in \{2,4\}$, when the graph has 4 connected components. When q is odd, the adjacency matrix A of Γ has characteristic polynomial $\phi(x) = \det(xI_q - A)$ of the form*

$$\phi(x) = (x - q(q-1))(x+q)^{(q-1)(q^2-q+1)}x^{3q(q-1)}(x-q)^{q(q-1)^2}\widetilde{\phi}(x)$$

where all roots of $\widetilde{\phi}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ have the form $\lambda = -q+\varepsilon^2$ where $|\varepsilon| \leqslant 2\sqrt{q}$. Each such value ε lies the ring $\mathbb{Z}\big[2\cos\frac{2\pi}{p}\big]$, or $\mathbb{Z}\big[2\cos\frac{2\pi}{9}\big]$ if $p = 3$.

A complete determination of $\phi(x)$ is given in Theorem 5.1 when q is even, and in Theorem 7.6 when $q = p$ is prime. Now using Lemma 2.1 we obtain

Theorem 2.3. *The graph $D(4,q)$ has eigenvalues $\pm q$, each of multiplicity 1 (unless $q \in \{2,4\}$ when each of the eigenvalues $\pm q$ has multiplicity 4). All remaining eigenvalues have the form $\pm\varepsilon$ where $|\varepsilon| \leqslant 2\sqrt{q}$.*

Once again, the eigenvalues ε of Theorem 2.3 are cyclotomic integers satisfying the conclusion of Theorem 2.2. In Theorem 2.2 the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 0 may actually exceed $3q(q-1)$; in particular this happens whenever $q \equiv 2 \mod 3$. We find explicit formulas for the actual eigenvalues, by expressing the 'error' terms ε as exponential sums defined over finite fields (or over the Galois ring $GR(9,e)$ of order $9^e = q^2$ and characteristic 9, in the case $q = 3^e$). This leads to our bound $|\varepsilon| \leqslant 2\sqrt{q}$, using the Hasse-Davenport-Weil bound when $q = p^e$, $p \geqslant 5$; or the analogous bound of Kumar, Helleseth and Calderbank [8] in the case $p = 3$.

Our strategy for proving this result (see [2] for details) is to first realize Γ as a Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$ for a nonabelian group G of order q^4, and *connection set* $S \subset G$. (Thus Γ has vertices labeled by elements of G; and two vertices $g, g' \in G$ are adjacent in Γ iff $g'g^{-1} \in S$). Since our graph Γ is undirected and connected with no loops or multiple edges, we will have $\langle S\rangle = G$, $1 \notin S$, and $g \in S$ iff $g^{-1} \in S$. We then determine the number k of conjugacy classes of G, and a complete set (up to equivalence) of irreducible ordinary representations $\pi_i : G \to GL_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. For each i, we compute the complex $n_i \times n_i$ matrix $\pi_i(S) := \sum_{g\in S}\pi_i(g)$.

Theorem 2.4 ([2, 5]; see also [9]). *The characteristic polynomial of A, the adjacency matrix of Γ, is given by*

$$\phi(x) = \det(xI_{|G|} - A) = \prod_{i=1}^{k}\det[xI_{n_i} - \pi_i(S)]^{n_i}.$$

Note that this gives $\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i^2 = |G|$ eigenvalues (counting according to their respective multiplicities) as required. In those cases where G is abelian, the eigenvalues are simply the character values $\chi_i(S)$. A similar simplification is possible when S is a union of conjugacy classes of G, but this does not apply in our case. When G is nonabelian and the full matrices of the representations π_i are not explicitly known, determining the eigenvalues of $\pi_i(S)$ from the character values alone may require substantial additional work (see [2]); but for us, the group G is sufficiently nice that explicit descriptions of the full matrices of the representations π_i are easily available, making our job much easier.

3. Background on Finite Fields

General results on finite fields can be found in [14]. Let $F = \mathbb{F}_q$ be a field of order $q = p^e$ where $e \geqslant 1$ and p is prime. The absolute trace map is

$$tr : F \to \mathbb{F}_p, \quad tr(a) = a + a^p + a^{p^2} + \cdots + a^{p^{e-1}}.$$

We also fix a primitive p-th root of unity $\zeta = \zeta_p \in \mathbb{C}$; here it suffices to assume that $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/p}$. We define the *exponential sum* of an arbitrary function $f : F \to F$ as the cyclotomic integer

$$\varepsilon_f = \sum_{a \in F} \zeta^{tr[f(a)]} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta].$$

Lemma 3.1. *For every polynomial of the form $f(t) = bt + c \in F[t]$ we have*

$$\varepsilon_f = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } b \neq 0; \\ q\zeta^{tr(c)}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. See [14, Ch.5]. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let k be a non-negative integer. Then*

$$\sum_{a \in F} a^k = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } k = (q-1)k_1 \text{ for some integer } k_1 \geqslant 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. See [14, p.271]. □

Lemma 3.3. *(i) Let n_k be the number of nonzero polynomials $a_2t^2 + a_1t + a_0 \in F[t]$ having exactly k distinct roots in F. Then*

$$n_0 = \tfrac{1}{2}(q-1)(q^2-q+2); \quad n_1 = 2q(q-1); \quad n_2 = \tfrac{1}{2}q(q-1)^2$$

and $n_k = 0$ otherwise. Here $n_0+n_1+n_2 = q^3-1$; and for $k = 0$ we include $\frac{1}{2}q(q-1)^2$ irreducible quadratics and $q-1$ nonzero constant polynomials.

(ii) For q even, let n_k be the number of nonzero polynomials $a_3t^3 + a_1t + a_0 \in F[t]$ having exactly k distinct nonzero *roots in F. Then*

$$n_0 = \tfrac{1}{3}(q-1)(q^2+8); \quad n_1 = \tfrac{1}{2}(q-1)^2(q+4); \quad n_3 = \tfrac{1}{6}(q-1)^2(q-2)$$

and $n_k = 0$ otherwise. Here $n_0 + n_1 + n_3 = q^3 - 1$.

PROOF. Every nonzero polynomial of degree $\leqslant 2$ with a single root has the form $a_1(t-t_1)$ or $a_2(t-t_1)^2$, giving $n_1 = 2q(q-1)$. Every nonzero polynomial of degree 2 having two distinct roots has the form $a_1(t-t_1)(t-t_2)$ with $a_2 \neq 0$ and $t_1 \neq t_2$; and there are $n_2 = \frac{1}{2}q(q-1)^2$ such polynomials. This leaves $n_0 = q^3 - 1 - n_1 - n_2 = \frac{1}{2}(q-1)(q^2-q+2)$, and the remaining assertions of (i) follow.

Now suppose q is even, and consider a nonzero polynomial $f(t) = a_3t^3 + a_1t + a_0 \in F[t]$. If $f(t) = a_3(t+t_1)(t+t_2)(t+t_3)$ then $t_1 + t_2 + t_3 = 0$; so in characteristic 2, the number of distinct nonzero roots must be 0, 1 or 3. There are $n_3 = \frac{1}{6}(q-1)^2(q-2)$ nonzero polynomials of the form $f(t) = a_3(t+t_1)(t+t_2)(t+t_1+t_2)$ where t_1, t_2 are nonzero and distinct. There are $(q-1)^2$ cubics of the form $a_3t(t+t_1)^2$ where $t_1 \neq 0$; and by (i), there are $\frac{1}{2}q(q-1)^2$ cubics of the form $(t+t_1)\big(a_3t^2 + a_3t_1t + \frac{a_0}{t_1}\big)$ for which $t_1 \neq 0$ and the quadratic factor is irreducible. These, together with the $(q-1)^2$ polynomials $a_1t + a_0$ having $a_1, a_0 \neq 0$, give

$$n_1 = (q-1)^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}q(q-1)^2 + (q-1)^2 = \tfrac{1}{2}(q-1)(q^2+4).$$

This leaves

$$n_0 = q^3 - 1 - n_1 - n_3 = \tfrac{1}{2}(q-1)(q^2+8).$$

One checks that this includes $\frac{1}{3}(q-1)(q^2+2)$ irreducible cubics of the required form, together with $q-1$ polynomials of the form a_1t with $a_1 \neq 0$, and $q-1$ nonzero constant polynomials. □

4. A Regular Group of Automorphisms of Γ

For all $t, u, v, w \in F$ we define the matrix

$$g = g(t,u,v,w) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t & u & v+tu & w \\ & 1 & 0 & -u & 0 \\ & & 1 & t & 0 \\ & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

These q^4 matrices form a subgroup $G < GL_5(F)$ acting regularly on points via

$$(1, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \mapsto (1, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4)g(t,u,v,w).$$

which can be written simply as

$$P \mapsto Pg$$

after a slight abuse of notation by which we identify

$$P = P(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = (1, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4).$$

One checks that this action preserves collinearity of points, and so gives a group of automorphisms of Γ which is regular on the vertices. Thus Γ is a Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$ for the set of $q(q-1)$ elements

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \{g \in G \,:\, P(0,0,0,0)g \text{ is (distinct from and) collinear with } P(0,0,0,0)\} \\ &= \{g(t,rt,-rt^2,r^2t) \,:\, r,t \in F,\ t \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The commutator of two typical elements of G is

$$[g(t,u,v,w), g(t',u',v',w')] = g(0,0,2t'u-2tu',0).$$

At this point we must consider separately the cases q even and q odd, for which G is abelian or nonabelian, respectively.

5. The case q even

In this section we suppose q is even, so that

$$g(t,u,v,w)g(t',u',v',w') = g(t+t',u+u',v+v',w+w').$$

In this case G is elementary abelian, with q^4 irreducible linear characters

$$\chi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\eta}\big(g(t,u,v,w)\big) = (-1)^{tr(\alpha t+\beta u+\gamma v+\eta w)}, \quad \alpha,\beta,\gamma,\eta \in F.$$

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose q is even. Then the characteristic polynomial of A, the incidence matrix of Γ, is*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= \det(xI_{q^4} - A) \\ &= \big(x-q(q-1)\big)(x-3q)^{q(q-1)^2(q-2)/24}(x-q)^{q(q-1)^2(q+4)/4} \\ &\quad \times x^{(q-1)(q^3+8q+3)/3}(x+q)^{3q(q-1)^2(q+2)/8}. \end{aligned}$$

The graph Γ is connected for $q \geqslant 8$; while for $q \in \{2,4\}$, Γ has 4 connected components.

Proof. By Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= \prod_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\eta \in F} \Big(x - \sum_{\substack{r,t\in F\\ t\neq 0}} (-1)^{tr(\alpha t+\beta rt+\gamma rt^2+\eta r^2 t)}\Big) \\ &= (x-q(q-1))(x+q)^{q-1} \prod_{\substack{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\eta\in F\\ (\beta,\gamma,\eta)\neq(0,0,0)}} \Big(x - \sum_{\substack{r,t\in F\\ t\neq 0}} (-1)^{tr(\alpha t+\beta rt+\gamma rt^2+\eta r^2 t)}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Now using the fact that the map $F \to F$, $r \mapsto r^2$ is an automorphism (in particular bijective and trace-preserving),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{r,t\in F\\ t\neq 0}} (-1)^{tr(\alpha t+\beta rt+\gamma rt^2+\eta r^2 t)} &= \sum_{0\neq t\in F} (-1)^{tr(\alpha t)} \sum_{r\in F} (-1)^{tr[(\beta^2 t+\gamma^2 t^3+\eta)r^2 t]} \\ &= q \sum_{\substack{0\neq t\in F\\ \beta^2 t+\gamma^2 t^3=\eta}} (-1)^{tr(\alpha t)}. \end{aligned}$$

After re-indexing via $(\beta,\gamma,\eta)\mapsto(\beta^{1/2},\gamma^{1/2},\eta)$,

$$\phi(x)=(x-q(q-1))(x+q)^{q-1}\prod_{(\beta,\gamma,\eta)\neq(0,0,0)}\prod_{\alpha}\Big(x-q\sum_{\substack{0\neq t\in F\\ \beta t+\gamma t^3=\eta}}(-1)^{tr(\alpha t)}\Big).$$

If the polynomial $f(t)=\gamma t^3+\beta t+\eta\in F[t]$ has a unique nonzero root $t_1\in F$, then the map $F\to\mathbb{F}_2$, $\alpha\mapsto tr(\alpha t_1)$ takes each of the values in $\{0,1\}$ exactly $\frac{q}{2}$ times, in which case

$$\prod_{\alpha}\Big(x-q\sum_{\substack{0\neq t\in F\\ \beta t+\gamma t^3=\eta}}(-1)^{tr(\alpha t)}\Big)=(x^2-q^2)^{q/2}.$$

Similarly, if $f(t)$ (as above) has three distinct nonzero roots $t_1,t_2,t_3\in F$, then $t_1+t_2+t_3=0$ and the map $F\to\mathbb{F}_2^3$, $\alpha\mapsto(tr(\alpha t_1),tr(\alpha t_2),tr(\alpha t_3))$ attains each of the triples $(0,0,0),(1,1,0),(1,0,1),(0,1,1)$ exactly $\frac{q}{4}$ times, in which case

$$\prod_{\alpha}\Big(x-q\sum_{\substack{0\neq t\in F\\ \beta t+\gamma t^3=\eta}}(-1)^{tr(\alpha t)}\Big)=(x-3q)^{q/4}(x+q)^{3q/4}.$$

Thus

$$\phi(x)=(x-q(q-1))(x+q)^{q-1}x^{n_0}\big[(x^2-q^2)^{q/2}\big]^{n_1}\big[(x-3q)^{q/4}(x+q)^{3q/4}\big]^{n_3}$$

where n_k is given by Lemma 3.3(ii). Simplification yields the formula claimed for $\phi(x)$. Now we simply read off the multiplicity of the largest eigenvalue to obtain the number of connected components of Γ (see e.g. [3, Prop.1.3.8]). $\square$

6. The case q is odd

Here and *for the remainder of this paper, we take q to be odd.* From the general formula for commutators in G given at the end of Section 4, we deduce the commutator subgroup and centre

$$G'=\{g(0,0,u,0):u\in F\},\quad Z=Z(G)=\{P(0,0,v,w):v,w\in F\};$$

also the centralizer of a noncentral element (i.e. with $(t,u)\neq(0,0)$) is a subgroup

$$C_G\big(g(t,u,v,w)\big)=\big\{g(ct,cu,v',w'):c,v',w'\in F\big\}$$

of order q^3. So G has q^3+q^2-q conjugacy classes (q^2 of size 1, and q^3-q of size q). There are $|G/G'|=q^3$ linear characters of G, given by

$$\chi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}\big(g(t,u,v,w)\big)=\zeta^{tr(\alpha t+\beta u+\gamma w)}$$

where $\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in F$. As in Section 3, $\zeta=\zeta_p$ is a complex p-th root of unity and $tr:F\to\mathbb{F}_p$ is the trace map. The remaining irreducible characters of G may be found by inducing linear characters of a subgroup of order q^3 (thus

yielding monomial representations of degree q); but guided by a little hindsight, we will instead directly exhibit the missing representations and show that they are irreducible and distinct. For each pair $\alpha, \beta \in F$ with $\alpha \neq 0$, we define $M_{\alpha,\beta} : G \to GL_q(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$M_{\alpha,\beta}(g(t,u,v,w)) = \big[\zeta^{tr[\alpha(v-2iu)+\beta w]}\delta_{i+t,j}\big]_{i,j\in F}$$

using the Kronecker delta notation $\delta_{i,j} = 0$ or 1 according as $i, j \in F$ either differ or coincide. It is routine to check that $M_{\alpha,\beta}(g)M_{\alpha,\beta}(g') = M_{\alpha,\beta}(gg')$ for all $g, g' \in G$, and $M_{\alpha,\beta}(g(0,0,0,0)) = I_q$; so $M_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a representation of degree q. The associated character is found to be

$$\psi_{\alpha,\beta}\big(g(t,u,v,w)\big) = tr\, M_{\alpha,\beta}\big(g(t,u,v,w)\big) = \begin{cases} \zeta^{tr(\alpha v+\beta w)}q, & \text{if } t=u=0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

using the fact that $\alpha \neq 0$. These $q^2 - q$ characters of G are irreducible and inequivalent since

$$\begin{aligned}
[\psi_{\alpha,\beta}, \psi_{\alpha',\beta'}]_G &= \frac{1}{q^4}\sum_{t,u,v,w\in F} \psi_{\alpha,\beta}\big(g(t,u,v,w)\big)\overline{\psi_{\alpha',\beta'}\big(g(t,u,v,w)\big)} \\
&= \frac{1}{q^4}\sum_{v,w\in F} \zeta^{tr[(\alpha-\alpha')v+(\beta-\beta')w]}q^2 \\
&= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (\alpha,\beta) = (\alpha',\beta'); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

These are also distinct from the characters $\chi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ and so we have the complete list of $q^3 + q^2 - q$ irreducible characters of G.

Now by Theorem 2.4, the adjacency matrix A of Γ has characteristic polynomial

$$\phi(x) = \det(xI_{q^4} - A) = \prod_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in F}(x - \chi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(S)) \prod_{\substack{\alpha,\beta\in F \\ \alpha\neq 0}} \det[xI_q - M_{\alpha,\beta}(S)]^q.$$

Those eigenvalues of A obtained from the linear characters of G are

$$\chi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(S) = \sum_{g\in S}\chi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(g) = \sum_{\substack{r,t\in F \\ t\neq 0}} \zeta^{tr[(\alpha+\beta r+\gamma r^2)t]} = (m_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} - 1)q$$

where $m_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ is the number of values $r \in F$ such that $\alpha + \beta r + \gamma r^2 = 0$. By Lemma 3.3(ii), the first q^3 factors of $\phi(x)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
&(x - q(q-1)) \prod_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\neq(0,0,0)} \Big(x - (m_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} - 1)q\Big) \\
&\qquad = (x - q(q-1))(x-q)^{n_2}x^{n_1}(x+q)^{n_0} \\
&\qquad = (x - q(q-1))(x-q)^{q(q-1)^2/2}x^{2q(q-1}(x+q)^{(q-1)(q^2-q+2)/2};
\end{aligned}$$

thus the characteristic polynomial $\phi(x) = \det(xI_{q^4} - A)$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= x^{2q(q-1)}(x-q(q-1))(x-q)^{q(q-1)^2/2}(x+q)^{(q-1)(q^2-q+2)/2} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha,\beta\in F \\ \alpha\neq 0}} \big[\det(xI_q - M_{\alpha,\beta}(S))\big]^q.\end{aligned}$$

Now for $\alpha \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}M_{\alpha,\beta}(S) &= \sum_{g\in S} M_{\alpha,\beta}(g) = \sum_{\substack{r,t\in F \\ t\neq 0}} \big[\zeta^{tr[\beta r^2 t - \alpha(t+2i)rt]}\delta_{i+t,j}\big]_{i,j\in F} \\ &= \Big[\sum_{r\in F} \zeta^{tr[\beta r^2(j-i)-\alpha r(j^2-i^2)]}\Big]_{i,j\in F} - qI_q \\ &= U_{\alpha,\beta}U^*_{\alpha,\beta} - qI_q\end{aligned}$$

where '$*$' denotes conjugate-transpose, and we have introduced the $q\times q$ complex matrices

$$U_{\alpha,\beta} = \big[\zeta^{tr(\alpha i^2 j - \beta i j^2)}\big]_{i,j\in F}.$$

We first treat the cases $\beta = 0 \neq \alpha$ for which we obtain

$$M_{\alpha,0}(S) = \Big[\sum_{r\in F} \zeta^{tr[\alpha r(i^2-j^2)]}\Big]_{i,j\in F} - qI_q.$$

Denoting by $\{e_r\}_{r\in F}$ the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^F = \mathbb{C}^q$, we find a new basis consisting of eigenvectors of $M_{\alpha,0}(S)$ as follows:

- $\frac{1}{2}(q-1)$ eigenvectors of the form $e_r + e_{-r}$ where $0 \neq r \in F$, each with eigenvalue q;
- $\frac{1}{2}(q-1)$ eigenvectors of the form $e_r - e_{-r}$ as r ranges over a set of representatives of the distinct nonzero pairs $\{r,-r\}$ in F. Each such vector has eigenvalue $-q$;
- $M_{\alpha,0}e_0 = 0$.

After including the factors

$$\prod_{0\neq\alpha\in F} \big[\det(xI_q - M_{\alpha,0}(S))\big]^q = x^{q(q-1)}(x^2-q^2)^{q(q-1)^2/2},$$

we update our formula for the characteristic polynomial of A as

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= x^{3q(q-1)}(x-q(q-1))(x-q)^{q(q-1)^2}(x+q)^{(q-1)(2q^2-2q+1)} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha,\beta\in F \\ \alpha\beta\neq 0}} \big[\det(xI_q - M_{\alpha,\beta}(S))\big]^q.\end{aligned}$$

Finally we describe the remaining $q^2(q-1)^2$ eigenvalues of A arising from $M_{\alpha,\beta}(S)$ for $\alpha\beta \neq 0$.

Lemma 6.1. *For any nonzero elements $c, d \in F$ the matrix $M_{\alpha,\beta}(S)$ is similar to $M_{c^2d\alpha,cd^2\beta}(S)$.*

PROOF. Re-indexing rows and columns of $U_{\alpha,\beta}$ via $(i,j) \mapsto (ci, dj)$, we see that

$$U_{c^2d\alpha,cd^2\beta} = P_c U_{\alpha,\beta} P_d^T$$

where P_c and P_d are $q \times q$ permutation matrices, and so $M_{c^2d\alpha,cd^2\beta} = P_c M_{\alpha,\beta} P_c^T$. □

Corollary 6.2. *If $q \not\equiv 1 \mod 3$ then for all nonzero $\alpha, \beta \in F$, $M_{\alpha,\beta}(S)$ is unitarily similar to $M_{1,1}(S)$. If $q \equiv 1 \mod 3$ then there are at most three similarity classes of matrices $M_{\alpha,\beta}(S)$ with $\alpha\beta \neq 0$, represented by $M_{1,1}(S)$, $M_{1,\omega}(S)$ and $M_{1,\omega^2}(S)$ where $\omega \in F$ is a primitive root.*

PROOF. If $q \not\equiv 1 \mod 3$, then every element of F has a cube root in F; so let $c \in F$ be any cube root of β/α^2 and take $d = \alpha c/\beta$. Then $M_{\alpha,\beta}(S)$ is similar to $M_{1,1}(S)$ by Lemma 6.1. The second conclusion follows similarly. □

If $q \equiv 2 \mod 3$ and $\alpha\beta \neq 0$, then $M_{\alpha,\beta}(S)$ is similar to $M_{3,3}$, since by Corollary 6.2, both matrices are similar to $M_{1,1}$. In this case $U_{3,3} = \left[\zeta^{tr(3i^2j - 3ij^2)}\right]_{i,j\in F}$ is unitarily similar to

$$\tilde{U} := D^* U_{3,3} D = \left[\zeta^{tr[(j-i)^3]}\right]_{i,j\in F}$$

where D is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $\zeta^{tr(i^3)}$ for $i \in F$. In this case the q vectors $v_c = \left(\zeta^{tr(ci)}\right)_{i\in F}$ for $c \in F$ form a basis of $\mathbb{C}^F$ consisting of eigenvectors of $\tilde{U}$; indeed

$$(\tilde{U}v_c)_i = \sum_{j\in F} \zeta^{tr[(j-i)^3]} \zeta^{tr(cj)} = \sum_{j\in F} \zeta^{tr(j^3)} \zeta^{tr(cj+ci)} = \Big(\sum_{j\in F} \zeta^{tr(j^3+cj)}\Big) \zeta^{tr(ci)}$$

so that $\tilde{U}v_c = \varepsilon_f v_c$ where

$$\varepsilon_f = \sum_{r\in F} \zeta^{tr\, f(r)}; \quad f(x) = x^3 + cx \in F[x].$$

Note that $\varepsilon_f \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ satisfies $\overline{\varepsilon_f} = \varepsilon_f$ since $f(-x) = -f(x)$; thus $\varepsilon_f \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta + \overline{\zeta}] = \mathbb{Z}\big[2\cos\frac{2\pi}{p}\big]$ (see [23, Prop.2.16]). Also $M_{3,3} = D^*\tilde{U}\tilde{U}^*D - qI_q$ has eigenvalues $\varepsilon_f^2 - q$. The Weil bound (see e.g. [24], [14, p.223]) gives $|\varepsilon_f| \leqslant 2\sqrt{q}$ as required. The all-ones eigenvector v_0 has eigenvalue $\sum_{j\in F} \zeta^{tr(j^3)} = 0$ since $f(x) = x^3$ is a permutation of F; so for $q \equiv 2 \mod 3$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) = {} & x^{3q(q-1)}(x - q(q-1))(x-q)^{q(q-1)^2}(x+q)^{(q-1)(2q^2-2q+1)} \\ & \times \prod_{0\neq c\in F} (x + q - \varepsilon_{t^3+ct}^2)^{q(q-1)}.\end{aligned}$$

When $q \equiv 1 \mod 3$ we work just a little harder. Let $a = \frac{1}{3\alpha\beta}$ and use the identity

$$a(\beta j - \alpha i)^3 = a\beta^3 j^3 - \beta i j^2 + \alpha i^2 j - a\alpha^3 i^3$$

to see that $U_{\alpha,\beta} = N\tilde{U}N'$ where

$$\tilde{U} = \left[\zeta^{tr[a(j-i)^3]}\right]_{i,j\in F}$$

and the unitary matrices N and N' are given by

$$N = \left[\zeta^{tr(aj^3)}\delta_{\alpha i,j}\right]_{i,j\in F}; \quad N' = \left[\zeta^{-tr(ai^3)}\delta_{i,\beta j}\right]_{i,j\in F}.$$

Now the vectors v_c (as above) are eigenvectors of $\tilde{U}$ since

$$(\tilde{U}v_c)_i = \sum_{j\in F}\zeta^{tr[a(j-i)^3]}\zeta^{tr(cj)} = \sum_{j\in F}\zeta^{tr(aj^3)}\zeta^{tr(cj+ci)} = \Big(\sum_{j\in F}\zeta^{tr(aj^3+cj)}\Big)\zeta^{tr(ci)}$$

with corresponding eigenvalue ε_f where $f(t) = at^3 + ct \in F[t]$. Now $M_{\alpha,\beta} = N\tilde{U}\tilde{U}^*N^* - qI_q$ has eigenvalues $\varepsilon_f^2 - q$, and

$$\prod_{\substack{\alpha,\beta\in F\\ \alpha\beta\neq 0}}\det(xI_q - M_{\alpha,\beta}(S)) = \prod_{\substack{a,c\in F\\ a\neq 0}}(x + q - \varepsilon_{at^3+t}^2)^{q-1}$$

since as α and β range over the nonzero elements of F, the coefficient $a = \frac{1}{3\alpha\beta}$ falls in each of the three multiplicative cosets of the cubes equally often, and we recall Corollary 6.2. So for $q \equiv 1 \mod 3$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) = {} & x^{3q(q-1)}(x - q(q-1))(x-q)^{q(q-1)^2}(x+q)^{(q-1)(q^2-q+1)}\\ & \times \prod_{\substack{a,c\in F\\ a\neq 0}}(x + q - \varepsilon_{at^3+ct}^2)^{q(q-1)}.\end{aligned}$$

As before, $\varepsilon_f \in \mathbb{Z}\left[2\cos\frac{2\pi}{p}\right]$ and $|\varepsilon_f| \leqslant 2\sqrt{q}$.

Finally, suppose $q = 3^e$ so that $p = 3$. By the Lemma, $U_{\alpha,\beta}$ is unitarily similar to $U_{1,1}$. Unlike the cases $q \not\equiv 0 \mod 3$, in this case the eigenvalues of $U_{1,1}$ do not lie in $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$; rather they lie in $\mathbb{Q}[\xi]$ where we abbreivate $\xi = \zeta_9 = e^{2\pi i/9}$, chosen so that $\xi^3 = \zeta = \zeta_3$. This can be seen even in the case $q = 3$ where

$$U_{1,1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & \zeta\\ 1 & \zeta^2 & 1\end{bmatrix}$$

whose eigenvalues are

$$1 + \xi^4 + \xi^5, \quad 1 + \xi^2 + \xi^7 = 1 - \xi + \xi^2 - \xi^4, \quad 1 + \xi + \xi^8 = 1 + \xi - \xi^2 - \xi^5.$$

Likewise, the eigenvalues of $M_{1,1}$ lie in $\mathbb{Q}[\xi]$ but not in $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$. However we see that the eigenvalues of $U_{1,1}$ are expressible as exponential sums defined over Galois rings; see e.g. [8, 18]. Let $R = GR(9, e)$ be the Galois ring of order $9^e = q^2$ and characteristic 9. The ring R enjoys the following properties:

- R is a commutative ring with a maximal ideal $3R$ consisting of all zero divisors in R, and the quotient ring is $R/3R \cong F = \mathbb{F}_q$.
- The units of R form a multiplicative group $R^\times$ consisting of all elements not in $3R$. This group has a multiplicative subgroup $\{1, \beta, \beta^2, \ldots, \beta^{q-2}\}$ of order $q-1$.
- Every element $x \in R$ has a unique 3-adic expansion $x = x_0 + 3x_1$ where $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{T}$. where we define $\mathcal{T} = \{0, 1, \beta, \beta^2, \ldots, \beta^{q-2}\}$. In particular, $\mathcal{T}$ is a set of representatives of the cosets $R/3R \cong \mathbb{F}_q$.
- The trace map $tr : R \to \mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$ is defined by

  $$tr(x_0 + 3x_1) = (x_0 + x_0^3 + x_0^9 + \cdots + x_0^{3^{e-1}}) + 3(x_1 + x_1^3 + x_1^9 + \cdots + x_1^{3^{e-1}})$$

  where $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{T}$. After reducing both domain and range modulo $3R$, this gives the usual absolute trace map $\mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_3$.

After replacing $F = \mathbb{F}_q$ by $\mathcal{T}$ as index set for entries of our vectors and matrices, we may rewrite our basis of $\mathbb{C}^q$ as $v_c = \big(\xi^{3tr(ci)}\big)_{i \in \mathcal{T}}$ for $c \in \mathcal{T}$, and

$$U_{1,1} = \big[\xi^{3tr(i^2 j - i j^2)}\big]_{i,j \in \mathcal{T}}$$

which is unitarily similar to

$$\tilde{U} = \big[\xi^{tr[(j-i)^3]}\big]_{i,j \in \mathcal{T}}$$

after conjugating by the unitary diagonal matrix $D = \big[\xi^{tr(i^3)}\delta_{i,j}\big]_{i,j \in \mathcal{T}}$. Now

$$(\tilde{U} v_c)_i = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{T}} \xi^{tr[(j-i)^3]} \xi^{3tr(cj)} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{T}} \xi^{tr(j^3)} \xi^{3tr(cj+ci)} = \Big(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{T}} \xi^{tr(j^3+3cj)}\Big) \xi^{3tr(ci)}$$

so that $\tilde{U} v_c = \varepsilon_f v_c$ where

$$\varepsilon_f = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{T}} \xi^{tr\, f(i)}; \quad f(t) = t^3 + 3ct \in R[t],\ c \in \mathcal{T}.$$

The 'weighted degree' of $f(t)$, as defined in [8, p.458], is $d = 3$; and as shown in [8], the Weil bound $|\varepsilon_f| \leqslant (d-1)\sqrt{q} = 2\sqrt{q}$ holds. Once again by [23, Prop.2.16] we have $\varepsilon_f \in \mathbb{Z}\big[2\cos\frac{2\pi}{9}\big]$. With the notation above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) = {} & x^{3q(q-1)}(x - q(q-1))(x-q)^{q(q-1)^2}(x+q)^{(q-1)(q^2-q+1)} \\ & \times \prod_{c \in \mathcal{T}} (x + q - \varepsilon_{t^3+3ct}^2)^{q(q-1)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

7. Exact Spectra over Prime Fields

It is possible to refine Theorem 2.2 to express $\phi(x)$ precisely. Here, however, we state such a result (Theorem 7.6 below) only for the case $q = p$ is prime; in the general case $q = p^e$ with $e \geqslant 2$, counting multiplicities is more technical and Theorem 2.2 is probably adequate for any intended applications. In the following we denote $f^{-1}(r) = \{a \in F : f(a) = r\}$.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $f, g : F \to F$ be two functions where the field $F = \mathbb{F}_p$ has prime order p. Then $\varepsilon_f = \varepsilon_g$ iff $|f^{-1}(r)| = |g^{-1}(r)|$ for all $r \in F$.*

Proof. If $\varepsilon_f = \varepsilon_g$ then

$$0 = \varepsilon_f - \varepsilon_g = \sum_{r \in F} \big(|f^{-1}(r)| - |g^{-1}(r)|\big)\zeta^r.$$

Since the minimal polynomial of ζ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is the cyclotomic polynomial $1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|f^{-1}(r)| - |g^{-1}(r)| = n$ for all $r \in F$. Since $\sum_{r \in F} |f^{-1}(r)| = \sum_{r \in F} |g^{-1}(r)| = p$, we must have $n = 0$. The converse is clear. □

Lemma 7.2. *Let $f(t) \in F[t]$ and $\tilde{f}(t) = f(\lambda t) \in F[t]$ where $0 \neq \lambda \in F$. Then $\varepsilon_{\tilde{f}} = \varepsilon_f$.*

Proof. Straightforward. □

Let C be the set of $p(p-1)$ cubic polynomials of the form $f(t) = at^3 + ct \in F[t]$. By Lemma 7.2, the corresponding exponential sums ε_f for $f \in C$ are not all distinct. We next find a set of representatives $\tilde{C} \subset C$ giving rise to distinct exponential sums; that is, for each $f \in C$ there is a unique $g \in \tilde{C}$ such that $\varepsilon_f = \varepsilon_g$.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $f(t) = at^3 + ct \in F[t]$ where the field $F = \mathbb{F}_p$ has prime order $p \geqslant 5$, and $a \neq 0$.*

(i) For $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$, we have $\varepsilon_f = 0$ iff $c = 0$. We may take $\tilde{C} = \{t^3 + \tilde{c}t : \tilde{c} \in F\}$; and $\varepsilon_f = \varepsilon_{t^3 + \tilde{c}t}$ iff $\tilde{c} = a^{-1/3}c$. Here $|\tilde{C}| = p$.

(ii) For $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$, we have $\varepsilon_f \neq 0$. Let $\omega \in F$ be a primitive element, i.e. a generator of the multiplicative group $F^\times$. We may take $\tilde{C} = \{t^3, \omega t^3, \omega^2 t^3\} \cup \{\tilde{a}t^3 + t : 0 \neq \tilde{a} \in F\}$. Here $|\tilde{C}| = p + 2$. If $c \neq 0$ then $\varepsilon_f = \varepsilon_{\tilde{a}t^3 + 1}$ where $\tilde{a} = a/c^3$. If $c = 0$ then $\varepsilon_f = \varepsilon_{\omega^i t^3}$ where $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ is uniquely determined by $(a/\omega^i)^{(p-1)/3} = 1$.

Proof. (i) First suppose $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$, so that $p = 3m - 1$ where $m \geqslant 2$. In this case every element $a \in F$ has a unique cube root $a^{1/3} = a^{2m-1}$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$\sum_{s \in F} |f^{-1}(s)| s^m = \sum_{r \in F} (ar^3 + cr)^m = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \binom{m}{i} a^{m-i} c^i \sum_{r \in F} r^{3m-2i} = -ma^{m-1}c.$$

If $\varepsilon_f = \varepsilon_g$ where $g(t) = t^3 + \tilde{c}t$ then $|f^{-1}(s)| = |g^{-1}(s)|$ for all $s \in F$ by Lemma 7.1, so $-ma^{m-1}c = -m\tilde{c}$ and $\tilde{c} = a^{m-1}c = a^{-1/3}c$; but conversely, if $\tilde{c} = a^{-1/3}c$ then $f(t) = g(a^{1/3}t)$ so by Lemma 7.2, $\varepsilon_f = \varepsilon_g$.

If $c = 0$ then $f(t) = at^3$ defines a permutation of F, so $\varepsilon_f = 0$.

(ii) Now suppose $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$, and write $p = 3m + 1$ where $m \geqslant 2$. Again by Lemma 3.2,

$$\sum_{s\in F} |f^{-1}(s)|s^m = \sum_{r\in F} (ar^3 + cr)^m = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \binom{m}{i} a^{m-i}c^i \sum_{r\in F} r^{3m-2i} = -a^m$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{s\in F} |f^{-1}(s)|s^{m+2} &= \sum_{r\in F} (ar^3 + cr)^{m+2} = \sum_{i=0}^{m+2} \binom{m+2}{i} a^{m+2-i}c^i \sum_{r\in F} r^{3m+6-2i} \\ &= -\binom{m+2}{3} a^{m-1}c^3.\end{aligned}$$

If $\varepsilon_f = \varepsilon_g$ where $g(t) = \tilde{a}t^3 + \tilde{c}t$, then as in (i), it follows that $\tilde{a}^m = a^m$, $\tilde{a}^{m-1}\tilde{c}^3 = a^{m-1}c^3$ and $\tilde{a}c^3 = a\tilde{c}^3$. We consider two cases:

- Suppose $c = 0$; then $\tilde{c} = 0$. We may write $a = \omega^{3d+i}$ where $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, so that $(\omega^i)^m = a^m$. Now if $g \in \tilde{C}$ satisfies $\varepsilon_g = \varepsilon_f$, we must have $g(t) = \omega^i t^3$. Conversely, $\omega^i t^3 = f(\omega^{-d}t)$; so $g(t) = \omega^i t^3$ is the unique $g \in \tilde{C}$ satisfying $\varepsilon_g = \varepsilon_f$.
- Suppose $c \neq 0$; then $\tilde{c} \neq 0$. If $g \in \tilde{C}$ satisfies $\varepsilon_g = \varepsilon_f$, we must have $g(t) = \tilde{a}t^3 + t$ where $\tilde{a} = c^{-3}a$. Conversely, $c^{-3}at^3 + t = f(c^{-1}t)$; so $g(t) = c^{-3}at^3 + t$ is the unique $g \in \tilde{C}$ satisfying $\varepsilon_g = \varepsilon_f$. □

In order to determine the exact spectrum of $\Gamma(4, p)$, we need to know not only when the values ε_f are distinct, but actually when the values ε_f^2 are distinct. As preparation, we need the following.

Lemma 7.4. *Let $F = \mathbb{F}_p$ where $p \geqslant 5$ is prime, and suppose there exists a polynomial of the form $f(x) = x^3 + cx \in F[t]$ having $|f^{-1}(s)| \leqslant 2$ for all $s \in F$. Then one of the following holds:*

(i) $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$, *and* $c = 0$;

(ii) $p = 5$ *and* $c \in \{2, 3\}$; *or*

(iii) $p = 7$ *and* $c \in \{1, 2, 4\}$.

Proof. We will assume $p \geqslant 11$ since the cases $p \in \{5, 7\}$ may be easily checked by explicit computation. First observe that $|f^{-1}(s)| = 2$ for at most two values of $s \in F$; this is because for any such value of s, $f(t) - s = t^3 + ct - s$ and $f'(t) = 3t^2 + c$ have a linear factor in common, forcing $s^2 = -4c^3/27$.

For each $k \in \{0,1,2,3\}$, let $n_k = |\{s \in F : |f^{-1}(s)| = k\}|$. By hypothesis, $n_3 = 0$; and we have just shown that $n_2 \leqslant 2$. Elementary counting arguments give $n_0+n_1+n_2 = p = n_1+2n_2$. Exactly three possibilities must be considered.

Case (i): $(n_0, n_1, n_2) = (0, p, 0)$. In this case f is a permutation polynomial; but then $\varepsilon_f = 0$ and Lemma 7.3 gives $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$, and $f(t) = t^3$.

Case (ii): $(n_0, n_1, n_2) = (1, p-2, 1)$. Here $|f^{-1}(a)| = 2$ and $|f^{-1}(b)| = 0$ for some $a, b \in F$, and $|f^{-1}(s)| = 1$ for all other values $s \in F$. Since f has degree $3 < p-1$, $0 = \sum_{t\in F} f(t) = a - b$, contradicting Lemma 3.2.

Case (iii): $(n_0, n_1, n_2) = (2, p-4, 2)$. Here there exist distinct values a_0, a_1, $a_2, a_3 \in F$ such that

$$|f^{-1}(s)| = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } s \in \{a_0, a_1\}; \\ 0, & \text{if } s \in \{a_2, a_3\}; \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $\deg(f(t)^d) = 3d < p-1$ for $d \in \{0,1,2,3\}$, Lemma 3.2 gives

$$0 = \sum_{t\in F} f(t)^d = a_0^d + a_1^d - a_2^d - a_3^d.$$

This gives a nontrivial linear dependence between four columns of the nonsingular Vandermonde matrix $\left[a_i^j : 0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant 3\right]$, a contradiction. □

Corollary 7.5. *Let $F = \mathbb{F}_p$ be a field of prime order $p \geqslant 5$, and suppose $\varepsilon_g = -\varepsilon_f$ where $f(t) = t^3 + ct \in F[t]$ and $g(t) = t^3 + \tilde{c}t \in F[t]$. If $\tilde{c} \neq c$ then we must have $p = 5$ and $\{c, \tilde{c}\} = \{2, 3\}$.*

Proof. If $\varepsilon_f = -\varepsilon_g$ then

$$0 = \varepsilon_f + \varepsilon_g = \sum_{s\in F} (|f^{-1}(s)| + |g^{-1}(s)|)\zeta^s$$

and arguing as in the proof of Lemma 7.1, we must have $|f^{-1}(s)| + |g^{-1}(s)| = 2$ for all $s \in F$. In particular, $|f^{-1}(s)| \leqslant 2$ for all $s \in F$. By Lemma 7.4, $p \in \{5, 7\}$. For $p = 7$ the only cubics of the form $f(t) = t^3 + ct$ satisfying $|f^{-1}(s)| \leqslant 2$ for all $s \in F$, have $|f^{-1}(s)| = 1, 0, 2, 1, 1, 2, 0$ for $s = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ respectively; and no pair of such cubics can satisfy $|f^{-1}(s)| + |g^{-1}(s)| = 2$ for all $s \in F$.

This leaves only the case $p = 5$ and the pair of cubics $f(t) = t^3 + 2t$, $g(t) = t^3 + 3t$ where $|f^{-1}(s)| = 1, 0, 2, 2, 0$ and $|g^{-1}(s)| = 1, 2, 0, 0, 2$ for $s = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ respectively. □

Theorem 7.6. *Let p be an odd prime, and let A be the adjacency matrix of $\Gamma(4, p)$, with characteristic polynomial $\phi(x) = \det(xI_{p^4} - A)$.*

(i) For $p = 3$, we have $\phi(x) = x^{18}(x-6)(x-3)^{12}(x+3)^{14}(x^3-9x-9)^{12}$.

(ii) For $p = 5$, we have $\phi(x) = x^{220}(x-20)(x-5)^{80}(x+5)^{164}(x^2-5x-25)^{80}$.

(iii) For $5 < p \equiv 2 \mod 3$*, we have*

$$\phi(x) = x^{3p(p-1)}\big(x - p(p-1)\big)(x-p)^{p(p-1)^2}(x+p)^{(p-1)(2p^2-2p+1)} \times \prod_{c=1}^{p-1}(x+p-\varepsilon_{t^3+ct}^2)^{p(p-1)^2}$$

with $p+3$ *distinct roots and multiplicities as indicated by the exponents.*

(iv) For $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$*, we have*

$$\phi(x) = x^{3p(p-1)}\big(x - p(p-1)\big)(x-p)^{p(p-1)^2}(x+p)^{(p-1)(p^2-p+1)} \times [(x+p-\omega_{t^3}^2)(x+p-\varepsilon_{\omega t^3}^2)(x+p-\varepsilon_{\omega^2 t^3}^2)]^{p(p-1)^2/3} \times \prod_{a=1}^{p-1}(x+p-\varepsilon_{at^3+t}^2)^{p(p-1)^2},$$

with $p+6$ *distinct roots and multiplicities as indicated by the exponents.*

PROOF. For $p = 3$ we take $R = \mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$, $\xi = e^{2\pi i/9}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{0, 1, 8\}$ in the notation of Section 4, and

$$\phi(x) = x^{18}(x-6)(x-3)^{12}(x+3)^{14}\prod_{c\in\mathcal{T}}(x+3-\varepsilon_{t^3+3ct}^2)^{12}.$$

We compute

$$\varepsilon_{t^3} = 1+\xi+\xi^8, \quad \varepsilon_{t^3+3t} = 1+\xi^4+\xi^5, \quad \varepsilon_{t^3+6t} = 1+\xi^2+\xi^7$$

and

$$\prod_{c\in\mathcal{T}}(x+3-\varepsilon_{t^3+3ct}^2) = x^3 - 9x - 9,$$

so (i) follows.

Conclusion (iii) follows immediately from the previous results; and for $p = 5$, the same reasoning yields

$$\phi(x) = x^{60}(x-20)(x-5)^{80}(x+5)^{164}\prod_{c=1}^{4}(x+5-\varepsilon_{t^3+ct}^2)^{80}$$

where we need only to check for coincidence of roots. Straightforward computations show that $\varepsilon_{t^3+t} = 3+\zeta^2+\zeta^3 = \frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $\varepsilon_{t^3+4t} = 2-\zeta^2-\zeta^3 = \frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{2}$, whence

$$(x+5-\varepsilon_{t^3+t}^2)(x+5-\varepsilon_{t^3+4t}^2) = x^2-5x-25;$$

similarly, $\varepsilon_{t^3\pm 2t} = \pm(1+2\zeta^2+2\zeta^3) = \mp\sqrt{5}$, giving

$$(x+5-\varepsilon_{t^3+2t}^2)(x+5-\varepsilon_{t^3+3t}^2) = x^2.$$

This yields (ii), and conclusion (iv) follows similarly from the previous results. □

As an example, the spectrum of $D(4,13)$ contains $\pm\varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{4t^3} = 1 + 6\cos\frac{8\pi}{13} + 6\cos\frac{12\pi}{13} \approx -6.9533$. Compare $|\varepsilon|$ with $2\sqrt{12} \approx 6.9282$ and $2\sqrt{13} \approx 7.2111$ to see that while $D(4,13)$ is an expander, it is not quite Ramanujan. Similar conclusions are found for other values including $p = 19, 37$.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1400281. The second author is grateful to her doctoral supervisor Dr. Felix Lazebnik for providing direction in this research.

References

References

[1] N. Alon, O. Schwartz and A. Shapira, An elementary-construction of constant-degree expanders, Combin. Probab. Comput. 17 (2008) 319–327.

[2] L. Babai, Spectra of Cayley graphs, J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B 27 (1979) 180–189.

[3] A.E. Brouwer and W.H. Haemers, Spectra of Graphs (Springer, New York, 2012).

[4] S.M. Cioabă, F. Lazebnik and W. Li, On the spectrum of Wenger graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 107 (2014) 132–139.

[5] P. Diaconis and M. Shahshahani, Generating random permutations from random transpositions, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 57 (1981) no. 2, 159–179.

[6] C.D. Godsil and G. Royle, Algebraic Graph Theory (Springer, New York, 2001).

[7] I.M. Isaacs, Character Theory of Finite Groups (Academic Press, New York, 1978).

[8] P.V. Kumar, T. Helleseth and A.R. Calderbank, An upper bound for Weil exponential sums over Galois rings and applications, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory (2) 41 (1995) 456–468.

[9] M. Krebs and A. Shaheen, Expander Families and Cayley Graphs, A Beginner's Guide (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2011).

[10] F. Lazebnik and V.A. Ustimenko, Explicit construction of graphs with an arbitrary large girth and of large size, Discrete Appl. Math. 60 (1995) 275–284.

[11] F. Lazebnik, V.A. Ustimenko and A.J. Woldar, A characterization of the components of the graphs $D(k,q)$, Discrete Math. 157 (1996) 271–283.

[12] F. Lazebnik and A.J. Woldar, General properties of some families of graphs defined by systems of equations, J. Graph Theory 38 (2001) no.2, 65–86.

[13] W.-C. W. Li, M. Lu and C. Wang, Recent developments in low-density parity-check codes, in: Coding and Cryptology, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. 5557 (Springer, Berlin, 2009), pp.107–123.

[14] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, Finite Fields, 2nd ed., Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications (Camb. Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997).

[15] A. Lubotzky, R. Phillips and P. Sarnak, Ramanujan graphs, Combinatorica 8 (1988) no. 3, 261–277.

[16] G.A. Margulis, Explicit constructions of expanders, Prob. Pered. Inform. 9 (1973) no. 4, 71–80.

[17] G.A. Margulis, Explicit group-theoretical constructions of combinatorial schemes and their application to the design of expanders and concentrators, Prob. Inform. Trans. 24 (1988) no. 1, 3946.

[18] B.R. McDonald, Finite Rings with Identity (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1974).

[19] S. Reichard, personal communication with F. Lazebnik, 2001.

[20] A. Thomason, personal communication with F. Lazebnik, 2002.

[21] V.A. Ustimenko, Ramanujan graphs of a given degree, Dopov. Nats. Akad. Nauk Ukr. Mat. Prirodozn. Tekh. Nauki (1997) no. 6, 37–41.

[22] V.A. Ustimenko, On a group theoretical construction of expanding graphs, Algebra Discrete Math. (2003), no. 3, 102–109. MR2048644 (reviewer: Y. Glasner)

[23] L. Washington, Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields, 2nd ed. (Springer, New York, 1997).

[24] A. Weil, On some exponential sums, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 34 (1948) 47–62.